



Havering
LONDON BOROUGH

Daniel Douglas
Team Leader Transport Planning
Planning
London Borough of Havering
Mercury House
Mercury Gardens
Romford
RM1 3SL

Mr Richard Allen
Lead Member of the Examining Authority

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
9th June 2021

www.havering.gov.uk

Dear Sir,

London Borough of Havering (20025659) – LB Havering response to ExA Proposed Changes to draft DCO (PD-021)

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the ExA proposed changes to the draft DCO (PD-021). LB Havering wishes to make the following comments:

No 1. LB Havering remains of the view that the term “use of any street” should be deleted. LB Havering remains of the view that this power is excessive and goes beyond the need of the DCO.

No 2. LB Havering welcomes the recognition by the ExA of Local Authority pressures and welcomes the recommended period of Deemed Consent being extended from 28 days that is currently set out in a number of Articles in the Applicants draft DCO, to 42 days. This additional two weeks will be important for Local Authorities many of whom have resourcing challenges at the present time which are likely to continue for the foreseeable future.

No 3. LB Havering welcomes the proposal to delete Part 3, Article 18 (2) (C). LB Havering remains of the view that this power is excessive and goes beyond the need of the draft DCO.

No 4. LB Havering welcomes this proposed change to the timescale for Deemed Consent for the reasons set out in response to No2.

No 5. LB Havering welcomes this proposed change to the timescale for Deemed Consent for the reasons set out in response to No2.

No 10. LB Havering welcomes the ExA’s proposal to delete the word “substantially” from Requirement 4. This will provide the Council with certainty that the content of the outline CEMP including S61 consents will be delivered through the final CEMP.

No 11. LB Havering welcomes the ExA’s proposal to delete the phrase “must reflect” and insert the phrase “is in accordance with...” which gives the Council certainty that the final CEMP will be in accordance with the mitigation measures set out in the REAC.

Cleaner, Safer, Prouder Together

No 12. LB Havering welcomes the ExA's proposal to delete the phrase "must reflect" and insert the phrase "be in accordance with..." which gives the Council certainty that the final LEMP will follow with the mitigation measures set out in the REAC. LB Havering also welcomes the deletion of the phrase "be substantially in accordance" for the reasons set out for No 10 above.

No 15. LB Havering welcomes the ExA's proposal to delete "reflecting the" and insert the phrase "is in accordance with..." which gives the Council certainty that written details of Requirement 8 will be in line with the mitigation measures set out in the REAC.

No 16. LB Havering welcomes the ExA's proposal to delete the word "substantially" from Requirement 9 (2). This will provide the Council with certainty that the content of the outline Archaeological Management Plan (AMP) will be delivered through the final Archaeological Management Plan prepared by the appointed contractor.

No 18. LB Havering welcomes the ExA's proposal to delete the word "substantially" from Requirement 10 (2). This will provide the Council with certainty that the content of the outline Traffic Management Plan (TMP) will be delivered through the Traffic Management Plan (TMP) prepared by the appointed contractor. Furthermore LB Havering welcomes the deletion of the word "reflect" which gives the Council certainty that the final TMP will follow the measures that are set out in the REAC.

No 19. LB Havering welcomes the ExA's proposal to delete the word "substantially" and "reflect" from Requirement 11 (2). This will provide the Council with certainty that the content of the outline Arboricultural Method Statement will be delivered through the final Arboricultural Method Statement prepared by the appointed contractor and in line with the mitigation measures set out in the REAC.

No 20. LB Havering welcomes this proposed change to the timescale for Deemed Consent for the reasons set out in response to No2.

No 21. LB Havering welcomes the proposed new Requirement for mitigation measures including visual screen and post construction noise monitoring to determine whether an acoustic screen would be required. Should the new Requirement be implemented into the final DCO it would help address LB Havering's concerns raised at Deadline 6 (REP6-036) for the need for a permanent acoustic noise barrier to be in place for the residents of Grove Farm.

No. 23. LB Havering welcomes the proposed New Requirement for a Code of Construction Practise (CoCP) to be prepared and approved prior to the commencement of any development.

No 24. LB Havering notes the ExA's reasons for not putting forward a new Requirement in relation to Noise Control. Given that the ExA has proposed to delete the word "substantially" from Requirement 4, this provides LB Havering with the surety it needs that the Section 61 measures set out in the outline CEMP will be followed through by the contractor.

Yours faithfully,


Daniel Douglas
Team Leader Transport Planning

Cleaner, Safer, Prouder Together